UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,653	01/22/2005	Oleg Iliich Epshtein	841/010	8593
	7590 05/04/200 & Pergament LLP	EXAMINER		
1480 Route 9 N	orth	OUSPENSKI, ILIA I		
Woodbridge, NJ 07095			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/522,653	EPSHTEIN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 F</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under <u>B</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pre			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-9 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are withdress. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 6-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The examiner of this application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to ILIA OUSPENSKI, Group Art Unit 1644, Technology Center 1600.
 - 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4 9 are pending.

Claims 4 and 5 stand withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a nonelected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03, for reasons of record set forth in the restriction requirement mailed February 22, 2007.

Claims 1, 2 and 6 - 9 are presently under consideration.

3. Applicant's election without traverse of the Species of BHP in the reply filed on 02/04/2009 is acknowledged.

In the interest of compact prosecution, both Species of the claimed invention are examined on the merits.

4. The rejections of record have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment and arguments, except as reiterated herein.

It is noted that New Grounds of Rejection are set forth herein.

Art Unit: 1644

5. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informality: an apparently superfluous recitation of the word "form" at the end of the claim.

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1, 2 and 6-9 are rejected under **35 U.S.C. 112**, **second paragraph**, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 2 and 6-9 are indefinite in the recitation of "<u>a</u>" prostate specific antigen. One of skill in that art is aware that the term "prostate-specific antigen" is most commonly used to denote the 34 kD glycoprotein produced in prostate and whose level in blood is used a marker of prostate cancer. This interpretation is consistent with the disclosure at page 2 of the instant specification. However, on of skill in the art is also aware that a number of other antigens are specific to prostate, and as such would be encompassed by the recitation of "a prostate specific antigen." Therefore the use of the indefinite article "a" with the recitation of prostate-specific antigen makes the scope of the recitation unclear, and thus one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Applicant is invited to remove the indefinite article "a" preceding the recitation of "prostate-specific antigen" to obviate this rejection.

Applicant is reminded that any amendment must point to a basis in the specification so as not to add new matter. See MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06.

Art Unit: 1644

8. The following is a quotation of the **first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112**:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

9. Claims 1, 2 and 6 - 9 are rejected under **35 U.S.C. 112**, **first paragraph**, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. *This is a New Matter rejection.*

Applicant does not point out the support for the newly added limitations of claim 2, and the specification as-filed or original claims do not appear to provide adequate written description of a medicament comprising <u>both</u> anti-PSA antibodies <u>and</u> PSA itself.

The instant claims now recite limitations which were not clearly disclosed in the specification and claims as filed, and now change the scope of the instant disclosure as filed. Such limitations recited in the present claims, which did not appear in the specification or original claims, as filed, introduce new concepts and violate the description requirement of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112.

Applicant is required to cancel the New Matter in the response to this Office Action. Alternatively, Applicant is invited to clearly point out the written support for the instant limitations.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,653

Art Unit: 1644

10. Claim1, 2, 6 and 7 are rejected under **35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph**, because the specification, while being enabling for a medicament for treating benign prostatic hyperplasia or prostatitis, does not reasonably provide enablement for a medicament for treating a generically recited "disease of prostate." The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Page 5

The specification does not enable one of skill in the art to make and use the invention as claimed without undue experimentation. Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required to practice the claimed invention are summarized in In re Wands (858 F2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988)). The factors most relevant to this rejection are the scope of the claim, the amount of direction or guidance provided, limited working examples, the unpredictability in the art and the amount of experimentation required to enable one of skill in the art to practice the claimed invention.

The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 by Dr. Epshtein, filed on 01/09/2008, provides evidence that the claimed medicament is effective in treating of benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostatitis. However, on of skill in the art is aware that the genus of "diseases of prostate" encompasses numerous pathological conditions which differ in etiology, pathology, and molecular mechanisms. Therefore, the available evidence is not seen as predictive of the ability of the recited medicament to treat the multiple diseases within the scope of the instant claims.

Pharmaceutical therapies in the absence of in vivo clinical data are unpredictable for the following reasons; (1) the protein may be inactivated before producing an effect, i.e. such as proteolytic degradation, immunological inactivation or due to an inherently short half-life of the protein; (2) the protein may not reach the target area because, i.e. the protein may not be able to cross the mucosa or the protein may be adsorbed by

Art Unit: 1644

fluids, cells and tissues where the protein has no effect; and (3) other functional properties, known or unknown, may make the protein unsuitable for in vivo therapeutic use, i.e. such as adverse side effects prohibitive to the use of such treatment. See page 1338, footnote 7 of Ex parte Aggarwal, 23 USPQ2d 1334 (PTO Bd. Pat App. & Inter. 1992).

In view of insufficient guidance by the instant specification and the lack of predictability of the art to which the invention pertains with respect to treatment of diseases of prostate, undue experimentation would be required to practice the claimed methods with a reasonable expectation of success, absent a specific and detailed description in applicant's specification of the clinical protocols, and absent working examples providing evidence that the claimed methods are effective for treating any prostate diseases other than benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostatitis.

11. Conclusion: no claim is allowed.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ILIA OUSPENSKI whose telephone number is (571)272-2920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen B. O'Hara can be reached on 571-272-0878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ILIA OUSPENSKI/
ILIA OUSPENSKI, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1644

May 1, 2009